ANNUAL SYNAR REPORT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26 OMB № 0930-0222

Utah

FFY 2007



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Center for Substance Abuse Prevention www.samhsa.gov

FFY: 2007 State: _____ Date: _____

Table of Contents

Introduction	ii
Funding Agreements/Certifications	1
Section I: FFY 2006 (Compliance Progress)	2
Section II: FFY 2007 (Intended Use)	8
Appendix A: Forms	10
Appendix B: Synar Survey Sampling Methodology	18
Appendix C: Synar Survey Inspection Protocol	21
Appendix D: List Sampling Frame Coverage Study	23

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

INTRODUCTION

The Annual Synar Report (ASR) format provides the means for States to comply with the reporting provisions of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300x-26) and the Tobacco Regulation for the SAPT Block Grant (45 C.F.R. 96.130 (e)).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this project is 0930-0222 with an expiration date of 08/31/2007. Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 15 hours for Section I and 3 hours for Section II, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information, searching existing data sources, and gathering and maintaining the data needed. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer; Paperwork Reduction Project (0930-0222); 1 Choke Cherry Road, Room 7-1044, Rockville, Maryland 20857

How the Synar report helps the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention

In accordance with the tobacco regulations, States are required to provide detailed information on progress made in enforcing youth tobacco access laws (FFY 2006 Compliance Progress) and future plans to ensure compliance with the Synar requirements to reduce youth tobacco access rates (FFY 2007 Intended Use Plan). These data are required by 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and will be used by the Secretary to evaluate State compliance with the statute. Part of the mission of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) is to assist States¹ by supporting Synar activities and providing technical assistance helpful in determining the type of enforcement measures and control strategies that are most effective. This information is helpful to SAMHSA/CSAP in improving technical assistance resources and expertise on enforcement efforts and tobacco control program support activities, including State Synar Program support services, through an enhanced technical assistance program involving conferences and workshops, development of training materials and guidance documents, and on-site technical assistance consultation.

How the Synar report can help States

The information gathered for the Synar report can help States describe and analyze sub-State needs for program enhancements. These data can also be used to report to the State legislature and other State and local organizations on progress made to date in enforcing youth tobacco access laws when aggregated statistical data from State Synar reports can demonstrate to the Secretary the national progress in reducing youth tobacco access problems. This information will also provide Congress with a better understanding of State progress in implementing Synar, including State difficulties and successes in enforcing retailer compliance with youth tobacco access laws.

¹The term State is used to refer to all the States and territories required to comply with Synar as part of the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant Program requirements (42 U.S.C. 300x-64 and 45 C.F.R. 96.121).

FFY: 2007	State:
	Date:

Getting assistance in completing the Synar report

If you have questions about programmatic issues, you may call CSAP's Division of State and Community Assistance at 240-276-2570 and ask for your respective State Project Officer, or contact your State Project Officer directly by telephone or e-mail using the directory provided. If you have questions about fiscal or grants management issues, you may call the Grants Management Officer, Office of Program Services, Division of Grants Management, at 240-276-1404.

Where and when to submit the Annual Synar Report

The Annual Synar Report (ASR) must be received by SAMHSA no later than December 31, 2006. The ASR must be submitted in the **approved OMB report format**. Use of the approved format will avoid delays in the review and approval process. The chief executive officer (or an authorized designee) of the applicant organization must sign page 1 of the ASR certifying that the State has complied with all reporting requirements.

Submit one signed original of the report, one additional copy, and an electronic version on either CD-ROM or 3.5" diskette to the Grants Management Officer at the address below:

Grants Management Officer Office of Program Services, Division of Grants Management Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Regular Mail: Overnight Mail:

1 Choke Cherry Road, Room 7-1091 Rockville, Maryland 20857

1 Choke Cherry Road, Room 7-1091 Rockville, Maryland 20850

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

FFY 2007: FUNDING AGREEMENTS/CERTIFICATIONS

The following form must be signed by the Chief Executive Officer or an authorized designee and submitted with this application. Documentation authorizing a designee must be attached to the application.

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES ACT AND SYNAR AMMENDMENT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26 requires each State to submit an annual report of its progress in meeting the requirements of the Synar Amendment and its implementing regulation (45 C.F.R. 96.130) to the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services. By signing below, the chief executive officer (or an authorized designee) of the applicant organization certifies that the State has complied with these reporting requirements and the certifications as set forth below.

SYNAR SURVEY SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

The State certifies that the Synar survey sampling methodology on file with the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and submitted with the Annual Synar Report for FFY 2007 is upto-date and approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

SYNAR SURVEY INSPECTION PROTOCOL

The State certifies that the Synar Survey Inspection Protocol on file with the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention and submitted with the Annual Synar Report for FFY 2007 is upto-date and approved by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.

State:	
Name o	f Chief Executive Officer or Designee:
Signatu	re of CEO or Designee:
Title:	Date Signed:
	If signed by a designee, a copy of the designation must be attached

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

SECTION I: FFY 2006 (Compliance Progress)

YOUTH ACCESS LAWS, ACTIVITIES, AND ENFORCEMENT

42 U.S.C. 300x-26 requires the States to report information regarding the sale/distribution of tobacco products to individuals under age 18.

	•			
1.	access s	ndicate any changes or additions to the State tobacco statute(s) relating to youth ince the last reporting year. Please attach a photocopy of the change(s) in the w(s) if any was made since the last reporting year. (See 42 U.S.C. 300x-26)		
	a.	Has there been a change in the minimum sale age for tobacco products?		
		☐ Yes ⊠ No		
		If Yes, current minimum age: 19 20 21		
	b.	Have there been any changes in State law that impact the State's protocol for conducting Synar inspections? \square Yes \boxtimes No		
		If Yes, indicate change (check all that apply):		
		☐ Changed to require that law enforcement conduct inspections of tobacco outlets		
		Changed to make it illegal for youth to possess, purchase or receive tobacco		
		Changed to require ID to purchase tobacco		
		Other change(s) (please describe):		
	c. Have there been any changes in the law concerning vending machines?			
		☐ Yes ⊠ No		
		If Yes, indicate change (check all that apply):		
		☐ Total ban enacted		
	Banned from location(s) accessible to youth			
	Locking device or supervision required			
		Other change(s) (please describe):		
	d. Have there been any changes in State law that impact the following?			
		Licensing of tobacco vendors Penalties for sales to minors Yes No Yes No		
2.		e how the Annual Synar Report (see 45 C.F.R. 96.130(e)) and the State Plan (see C. 300x-51) were made public within the State. (Check all that apply)		
		Placed on file for public review		
		Posted on a State agency Web site (Specify Web site location: www.dsamh.utah.gov_)		
		Notice published in a newspaper or newsletter		
		Public hearing		
		Announced in a news release, a press conference, or discussed in a media interview		

		Date:
		Distributed for review as part of the SAPT Block Grant application process
		Distributed through the public library system
		Published in an annual register
		Other change(s) (please describe):
3.	Identify	the following agency or agencies. (See 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130)
	a.	The State agency(s) designated by the Governor for oversight of the Synar requirements:
		Department of Human Services, Division of Substance Abuse and Mental Health
		Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? Yes No
	b.	The State agency(s) responsible for conducting random, unannounced Synar inspections:
		Utah Department of Health
		Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? Yes No
	c.	The State agency(s) responsible for enforcing youth tobacco access law(s):
		<u>Utah Department of Health (and local law enforcement agencies)</u>
		Has this changed since last year's Annual Synar Report? Yes No
4.	Identify	the State agency(s) responsible for tobacco prevention control activities.
		Jtah Department of Health
	П	a the magnesiable accords about additional last year's Annual Symon Demont?
		s the responsible agency changed since last year's Annual Synar Report?
		Yes No
	a.	Describe the coordination and collaboration that occur between the agency responsible for tobacco control and the agency responsible for oversight of the Synar requirements. The two agencies (check all that apply):
		Are the same
		Have a formal written memorandum of agreement
		Have an informal partnership
		Conduct joint planning activities
		Combine resources
		Have other collaborative arrangement(s) (please describe):

FFY: 2007 State: _____

		Date:
5.		inswer the following questions regarding the State's activities to enforce the ccess to tobacco law(s) in FFY 2006. (See 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R.
	a.	Which one of the following describes the enforcement of youth access to tobacco laws carried out in your State? (Check one category only)
		Enforcement is conducted exclusively by local law enforcement agencies.

☐ Enforcement is conducted exclusively by State agency(s). ☐ Enforcement is conducted by both local <u>and State agencies</u>.

FFY: 2007 State: _____

b. The following items concern penalties imposed for violations of youth access to tobacco laws by <u>LOCAL AND/OR STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT</u>

<u>AGENCIES.</u> Please fill in the number requested or indicate if these data are unavailable or the item is not applicable.

				If Ava	ilable
PENALTY	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	TOTAL	OWNERS	CLERKS
Number of citations issued			410	N/A	N/A
Number of <u>fines assessed</u>		\boxtimes			
Number of permits/licenses suspended			2		
Number of permits/licenses revoked			0		
Other (please describe):					

c. What additional activities are conducted in your State to support enforcement and compliance with State tobacco access law(s)? (Check all that apply)

Merchant education and/or training
☐ Incentives for merchants who are in compliance (e.g., Reward and Reminder)
Community education regarding youth access laws
Media use to publicize compliance inspection results
Community mobilization to increase support for retailer compliance with yout
access laws

Briefly describe all checked activities:

Both proactive and corrective retailer education is conducted on an ongoing basis by local health departments At the state level, every applicant for a tobacco license is sent retailer education information and driver license/state I.D. card information (Utah driver licenses and I.D. cards are printed with the month, day, and year that a person turns 19 to help prevent illegal underage sales). The Utah Tobacco Prevention and Control Program website contains an extensive retailer education section.

Other activities (*please list*):

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

SYNAR SURVEY METHODS AND RESULTS

The following questions pertain to the survey methodology and results of the Synar survey used by the State to meet the requirements of the Synar Regulation in FFY 2006. (See 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 and 45 C.F.R. 96.130)

6.	Has the sampling methodology changed from the previous year?						
		Yes No					
	The State is required to have an approved up-to-date description of the Synar sampling methodology on file with CSAP. Please submit a copy of your Synar Survey Sampling Methodology (Appendix B). If the sampling methodology changed from the previous reporting year, these changes must be reflected in the methodology submitted.						
7.	Please answer the following questions regarding the State's annual random, unannounced inspections of tobacco outlets. (See 45 C.F.R. $96.130(d)(2)$)						
	 a. Did the State use the optional Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data? ☐ Yes ⋈ No 						
		If Yes, attach SSES summary tables 1, 2, 3 and 4	and go to Question 8.				
		If No, continue to Question 7b.					
	b.	Report the weighted and unweighted Retailer V and the standard error.	Violation Rate (RVR) estimates,				
		Unweighted RVR	Rate = 9.9% (Census)				
		Weighted RVR	N/A				
		Standard error (s.e.) of the (weighted) RVR	N/A				
		Fill in the blanks to calculate the <u>right limit</u> of t interval.	he right-sided 95% confidence				

RVR Estimate	Plus	(1.645	times	Standard Error)	equals	Right Limit
	+	(1.645	×)	=	
					D	oate:
				FFY:	2007 St	ate:

c. Fill out Form 1 in Appendix A (Forms). (Required regardless of the sample design)

	FFY: 2007 State:				
	Date:				
d.	How were the (weighted) RVR estimate and its standard error obtained? (Check the one that applies)				
	☐ Form 2 (Optional) in Appendix A (Forms) (Attach completed Form 2)				
	☑ Other (<i>Please specify. Provide formulae and calculations or attach and explain the program code and output with description of all variable names.</i>)				
	Utah uses a census of the first check completed on each outlet during the federal fiscal year				
e.	If stratification was used, did any strata in the sample contain only one outlet				
	or cluster this year?				
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ No stratification				
	If Yes, explain how this situation was dealt with in variance estimation.				
f.	Was a cluster sample design used?				
	☐ Yes ⊠ No				
	If No, go to Question 7g.				
	If Yes , fill out and attach Form 3 in Appendix A (Forms), and answer the following question:				
	Were any certainty primary sampling units selected this year?				
	☐ Yes ☐ No				
	If Yes , explain how the certainty clusters were dealt with in variance estimation.				

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

g.	Report the	e following	outlet sam	ple sizes fo	r the Syr	nar survey.

	Sample Size
Effective sample size (sample size needed to meet the SAMHSA precision requirement assuming simple random sampling)	
Target sample size (the product of the effective sample size and the design effect)	
Original sample size (inflated sample size of the target sample to counter the sample attrition due to ineligibility and non-completion)	
Eligible sample size (number of outlets found to be eligible in the sample)	
Final sample size (number of eligible outlets in the sample for which an inspection was completed)	

h. Fill out Form 4 in Appendix A (Forms).

	the State's Synar survey use a list frame? ⊠ Yes □ No						
	If Yes, answer the following questions about its coverage:						
	a. The calendar year of the latest frame coverage study:2006						
	b. Percent coverage from the latest frame coverage study: 96.6%						
	c. Was a new study conducted in this reporting period? ⊠ Yes ☐ No						
	If Yes , please complete Appendix D (List Sampling Frame Coverage Study) and submit it with the Annual Synar Report.						
	d. The calendar year of the next coverage study planned:2007						
Has	the Synar survey inspection protocol changed from the previous year?						
	□ Vog □ No						
	☐ Yes ⊠ No						
	The State is required to have an approved up-to-date description of the Synar inspection protocol on file with CSAP. Please submit a copy of your Synar Survey Inspection Protocol (Appendix C). If the inspection protocol changed from the previous year, these changes must be reflected in the protocol submitted.						

c. Fill out and attach Form 5 in Appendix A (Forms). (Not required if the State

used the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data)

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

SECTION II: FFY 2007 (Intended Use):

Public law 42 U.S.C. 300x-26 of the Public Health Service Act and 45 C.F.R. 96.130 (e) (4, 5) require that the States provide information on future plans to ensure compliance with the Synar requirements to reduce youth tobacco access.

1. In the upcoming year, does the State anticipate any changes in the:

Synar sampling methodology	Yes	\boxtimes No
Synar inspection protocol	Yes	\boxtimes No

If changes are made in either the Synar sampling methodology or the Synar inspection protocol, the State is required to obtain approval from CSAP prior to implementation of the change and file an updated Synar Survey Sampling Methodology (Appendix B) or an updated Synar Survey Inspection Protocol (Appendix C), as appropriate.

2. Please describe the State's plans to maintain and/or reduce the retailer violation rate for Synar inspections to be completed in FFY 2007. Include a brief description of plans for law enforcement efforts to enforce youth tobacco access laws, activities that support law enforcement efforts to enforce youth tobacco access laws, and any anticipated changes in youth tobacco access legislation or regulation in the State.

Utah will continue to apply its youth access system comprised of:

- (1) Conducting multiple compliance checks on each outlet in the state throughout the year.
- (2) Conducting proactive and corrective retailer education.
- (3) Promoting recognition of outlets and community agencies that have demonstrated exemplary efforts to reduce illegal underage tobacco access.
- (4) Continuing to distribute the Utah Tobacco Retailer Education kit to Utah tobacco retailers

Of note this year will be the distribution of brochures describing the changes in the Utah driver license and I.D. cards (which will continue to list the month, day, and year that a person turns 19 years of age)

Utah's current requirement that compliance checks be conducted by law enforcement agencies assures active enforcement of youth tobacco access laws.

No changes in youth access legislation or regulation are anticipated in the upcoming year.

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

3.	Describe any challenges the State faces in complying with the Synar regulation. (Check
	all that apply)
	Limited resources for law enforcement of youth access laws

Limited resources for law enforcement of youth access laws	
Limited resources for activities to support enforcement and compliance with youth	
tobacco access laws	
Limitations in the State youth tobacco access laws	
Limited public support for enforcement of youth tobacco access laws	
Limitations on completeness/accuracy of list of tobacco outlets	
Limited expertise in survey methodology	
Laws/regulations limiting the use of minors in tobacco inspections	
Difficulties recruiting youth inspectors	
Geographic, demographic, and logistical considerations in conducting inspections	
Cultural factors (e.g., language barriers, young people purchasing for their elders)	
☐ Issues regarding sources of tobacco under tribal jurisdiction	
Other challenges (please list):	
No challenges (please explain):	

Briefly describe all items checked above:

Coordinating efforts with law enforcement agencies with limited availability of officers/deputies, recruitment and training of youth, and the distances between outlets in rural areas are examples of resource limitations that state and local health departments must deal with when coordinating and conducting compliance checks. Besides expected turnover and busy personal schedules of youth, local health departments in small towns or rural areas will use youth from outlying areas in order to protect the inspectors from ostracism in their own community. As a result, local health departments are encouraged to recruit and train enough youth to compensate for these factors. Local health departments also promote tribal-based youth access activities with the tribes within their health district boundaries. They also provide advice and information to tribes requesting help.

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

APPENDIX A: FORMS

FFY: 2007	State:
	Date:

FORM 1 (Required for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar Survey data)

Complete Form 1 to report sampling frame and sample information and to calculate the unweighted retailer violation rate using results from the current year's Synar survey inspections.

Instructions for Completing Form 1: In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2007). Provide the remaining information by stratum if stratification was used. Make copies of the form if additional rows are needed to list all the strata.

Column 1: If stratification was used:

- 1(a) Sequentially number each row.
- 1(b) Write in the name of each stratum. All strata in the State must be listed.

If no stratification was used:

- 1(a) Leave blank.
- 1(b) Write "State" in the first row (indicates that the whole state is a single stratum).

Note for unstratified samples: for columns 2-5, wherever the instruction refers to "each stratum," report the specified information for the State as a whole.

- Column 2: 2(a) Report the number of over-the-counter (OTC) outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
 - 2(b) Report the number of vending machine (VM) outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
 - 2(c) Report the combined total of OTC and VM outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum.
- Column 3: 3(a) Report the estimated number of eligible OTC outlets in the OTC outlet population in each stratum.
 - 3(b) Report the estimated number of eligible VM outlets in the VM outlet population in each stratum.
 - 3(c) Report the combined total estimated number of eligible OTC and VM outlets in the total outlet population in each stratum.

The estimates for Column 3 can be obtained from the Synar survey sample as the weighted sum of eligible outlets by outlet type.

- Column 4: 4(a) Report the number of eligible OTC outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
 - 4(b) Report the numbers of eligible VM outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
 - 4(c) Report the combined total of eligible OTC and VM outlets for which an inspection was completed, for each stratum.
- Column 5: 5(a) Report the number of OTC outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.
 - 5(b) Report the number of VM outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.
 - 5(c) Report the combined total of OTC and VM outlets found in violation of the law as a result of completed inspections, for each stratum.

Totals: For each sub-column (a-c) in Columns 2-5, provide totals for the State as a whole in the last row of the table. These numbers will be the sum of the numbers in each row for the respective column.

FORM 1 (Required for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar Survey data)

		202 W	2400	sizing viiv		y of Synar I	•	,	<u> </u>	iai survey c		Star FI	te <u>Utah</u> FY <u>2007</u>
((1)		(2)			(3)			(4)			(5)	
STRATUM SAM		NUMBER OF OUTLETS IN SAMPLING FRAME (Original List 8/2005)		ELIGII P	ESTIMATED NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE OUTLETS IN POPULATION (Updated List 9/2006)			BER OF OU INSPECTEI		VIOI	OUTLETS I LATION DI NSPECTIO		
(a) Row #	(b) Stratum Name	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (2a+2b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (3a+3b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (4a+4b)	(a) Over-the- Counter (OTC)	(b) Vending Machines (VM)	(c) Total Outlets (5a+5b)
1	Bear River	83	0	83	85	0	85	83	0	83	3	0	3
2	Central	110	0	110	120	0	120	120	0	120	24	0	24
3	Davis	110	0	110	114	0	114	113	0	113	15	0	15
4	Salt Lake	588	0	588	590	0	590	565	0	565	47	0	47
5	Southeast	81	0	81	83	0	83	80	0	80	7	0	7
6	Southwest	181	0	181	191	0	191	179	0	179	11	0	11
7	Summit	40	0	40	41	0	41	35	0	35	3	0	3
8	Tooele	35	0	35	37	0	37	33	0	33	7	0	7
9	TriCounty	55	0	55	59	0	59	52	0	52	4	0	4
10	Utah County	174	0	174	183	0	183	175	0	175	19	0	19
11	Wasatch	25	0	25	29	0	29	26	0	26	2	0	2
12	Weber Morgan	130	0	130	135	0	135	132	0	132	16	0	16
	TOTAL	1612	0	1612	1667	0	1667	1593	0	1593	158	0	158

RECORD COLUMN TOTALS ON LAST LINE (LAST PAGE ONLY IF MULTIPLE PAGES ARE NEEDED)

FFY: 2007	State:
	Date:

FORM 2 (Optional)

Appropriate for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs.

Complete Form 2 to calculate the weighted RVR. This table (in Excel form) is designed to calculate the weighted RVR for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs, accounting for ineligible outlets and non-complete inspections encountered during the annual Synar survey.

Instructions for Completing Form 2:

In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2007).

- Column 1: Write in the name of each stratum into which the sample was divided. These should match the strata reported in Column 1(b) of Form 1.
- Column 2: Report the number of outlets in the sampling frame in each stratum. These numbers should match the numbers reported for the respective strata in Column 2(c) of Form 1.
- Column 3: Report the original sample size (the number of outlets originally selected, <u>including</u> substitutes or replacements) for each stratum.
- Column 4: Report the number of sample outlets in each stratum that were found to be eligible during the inspections. Note that this number must be less than or equal to the number reported in Column 3 for the respective strata.
- Column 5: Report the number of eligible outlets in each stratum for which an inspection was completed. Note that this number must be less than or equal to the number reported in Column 4. These numbers should match the numbers reported in Column 4(c) of Form 1 for the respective strata.
- Column 6: Report the number of eligible outlets inspected in each stratum that were found in violation. These numbers should match the numbers reported in Column 5(c) of Form 1 for the stratum.
- Column 7: Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the stratum RVR for each stratum in this column. This is calculated by dividing the number of inspected eligible outlets found in violation (Column 6) by the number of inspected eligible outlets (Column 5). The State unweighted RVR will be shown in the Total row of Column 7.
- Column 8: Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the estimated number of eligible outlets in the population for each stratum. This calculation is made by multiplying the number of outlets in the sampling frame (Column 2) times the number of eligible outlets (Column 4) divided by the original sample size (Column 3). Note that these numbers will be less than or equal to the numbers in Column 2.
- Column 9: Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate the relative stratum weight by dividing the estimated number of eligible outlets in the population for each stratum in Column 8 by the Total of the values in Column 8.
- Column 10: Form 2 (in Excel form) will automatically calculate each stratum's contribution to the State weighted RVR by multiplying the stratum RVR (Column 7) by the relative stratum weight (Column 9). The weighted RVR will be shown in the Total row of Column 10.
- Column 11: Form 2 (in Excel form) automatically calculates the standard error of each stratum's RVR (Column 7). The standard error for the State weighted RVR will be shown in the Total row of Column 11.
- TOTAL: For Columns 2-6, Form 2 (in Excel form) provides totals for the State as a whole in the last row of the table. For Columns 7-11, it calculates the respective statistic for the State as a whole.

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

FORM 2 (Optional) Appropriate for stratified simple or systematic random sampling designs.

	Calculation of Weighted Retailer Violation Rate									
									State:	2007
	1								1111	007
(1) Stratum Name	(2) N Number of Outlets in Sampling Frame	(3) n Original Sample Size	(4) n1 Number of Sample Outlets Found Eligible	(5) n2 Number of Outlets Inspected	(6) x Number of Outlets Found in Violation	(7) p=x/n2 Stratum Retailer Violation Rate	(8) N'=N(n1/n) Estimated Number of Eligible Outlets in Population	(9) w=N'/Total Column 8 Relative Stratum Weight	(10) pw Stratum Contribution to State Weighted RVR	(11) s.e. Standard Error of Stratum RVR
TOTAL										

- N number of outlets in sampling frame
- n original sample size (number of outlets in the original sample)
- $n1\,$ number of sample outlets that were found to be eligible
- n2 number of eligible outlets that were inspected
- x number of inspected outlets that were found in violation
- p stratum retailer violation rate (p=x/n2)
- N' estimated number of eligible outlets in population (N'=N*n1/n)
- w relative stratum weight (w=N'/Total Column 8)
- pw stratum contribution to the weighted retailer violation rate
- s.e. standard error of the stratum RVR

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

FORM 3 (Required when a cluster design is used for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data)

Complete Form 3 to report information about primary sampling units when a cluster design was used for the Synar survey.

Instructions for Completing Form 3:

In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2007).

Provide information by stratum if stratification was used. Make copies of the form if additional rows are needed to list all the strata.

Column 1: Sequentially number each row.

Column 2: *If stratification was used*: Write in the name of stratum. All strata in the State must be listed.

If no stratification was used: write "State" in the first row to indicate that the whole state constitutes a single stratum.

Column 3: Report the number of primary sampling units (PSUs) (i.e., first-stage clusters) created for each stratum.

Column 4: Report the number of PSUs selected in the original sample for each stratum.

Column 5: Report the number of PSUs in the final sample for each stratum.

TOTALS: For Columns 3-5, provide totals for the State as a whole in the last row of the table.

	Summary of Clusters Created and Sampled				
				ate:	
			Fl	FY: <u>2007</u>	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Row#	Stratum Name	Number of PSUs	Number of PSUs	Number of PSUs in the	
		Created	Selected	Final Sample	
	TOTAL				
	IUIAL				

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

FORM 4 (Required for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar Survey data)

Complete Form 4 to provide detailed tallies of ineligible sample outlets by reasons for ineligibility and detailed tallies of eligible sample outlets with noncomplete inspections by reasons for noncompletion.

Instructions for Completing Form 4:

In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2007).

Column 1(a): Enter the number of sample outlets found ineligible for inspection by reason for ineligibility. Provide the total number of ineligible outlets in the row marked "**Total**".

Column 2(a): Enter the number of eligible sample outlets with noncomplete inspections by reason for noncompletion. Provide the total number of eligible outlets with noncomplete inspections in the row marked "**Total**".

Inspection tallies by reason of ineligibility or noncompletion State Utah FFY 2007				
(1)		(2)		
INELIGIBLE		ELIGIBLE		
Reason for ineligibility	(a) Counts	Reason for noncompletion	(a) Counts	
Out of business	30	In operation but closed at time of visit	8	
Does not sell tobacco products	23	Unsafe to access	0	
Inaccessible by youth	3	Presence of police	0	
Private club or private residence	0	Youth inspector knows salesperson	0	
Temporary closure	1	Moved to new location	0	
Unlocatable	2	Drive thru only/youth inspector has no driver's license	0	
Wholesale only/Carton sale only	0	Tobacco out of stock	0	
Vending machine broken	0	Run out of time	4	
Duplicate	2	Other noncompletion reason(s) (describe)		
Other ineligibility reason(s) (describe)	0	Compliance check not allowed/conducted within specific law enforcement jurisdiction.	1	
Total	61	Total	13	

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

FORM 5 (Required for all States not using the Synar Survey Estimation System (SSES) to analyze the Synar survey data)

Complete Form 5 to show the distribution of outlet inspection results by age and gender of the youth inspectors.

Instructions for Completing Form 5:

In the top right hand corner of the form, provide the State name and reporting Federal fiscal year (FFY 2007).

Column 1: Enter the number of attempted buys by youth inspector age and gender.

Column 2: Enter the number of successful buys by youth inspector age and gender.

If the inspectors are age eligible but the gender of the inspector is unknown, include those inspections in the "OTHER" row. Calculate subtotals for males and females in rows marked SUBTOTALS. Sum SUBTOTALS for male, female, and OTHER and record in the bottom row marked TOTAL. Verify that that the TOTAL of attempted buys and successful buys equal the total for Column 4(c) and Column 5(c), respectively, on Form 1. If the totals do not match, please explain any discrepancies.

Synar Survey In	State Utah FFY 2007	
	(1) Attempted Buys	(2) Successful Buys
<u>Male</u>		
14 yrs	0	0
15 yrs	108	4
16 yrs	297	25
17 yrs	364	34
18 yrs	86	6
MALE SUBTOTAL	855	69
<u>Female</u>		
14 yrs	0	0
15 yrs	82	3
16 yrs	181	26
17 yrs	474	60
18 yrs	1	0
FEMALE SUBTOTAL	738	89
OTHER	0	0
TOTAL	1593	158

FFY: 2007	State:	
	Date:	

APPENDIX B

STATE:	Utah
FFY:	2007

SYNAR SURVEY SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

1.	What	type	of	sampling	frame	is	used?
----	------	------	----	----------	-------	----	-------

∠ List frame	(Go to Question 2)
☐ Area frame	(Go to Question 3)
☐ List-assisted area frame	(Go to Question 2)

2. List all sources of the list frame. Indicate the type of source from the list below. Provide a brief description of the frame source. Explain how the lists are updated (method), including how new outlets are identified and added to the frame. In addition, explain how often the lists are updated (cycle). (After completing this question, go to Question 4)

Use the corresponding number to indicate Type of Source in the table below:

1 - Statewide commercial business list
 2 - Local commercial business list
 4 - Statewide retail license/permit list
 5 - Statewide liquor license/permit list

3 – Statewide tobacco license/permit list 6 – Other

Name of Frame Source	Type of Source	Description	Updating Method and Cycle
Utah State Tax Commision List of licensed tobacco outlets	3	The frame contains a list of licensed tobacco outlets in Utah.	The Utah State Tax Commission supplies a list of licensed tobacco outlets to state and local health departments. Local health departments confirm stores on this list and other stores are added or deleted based on visits and telephone calls to stores. The list is updated on an ongoing basis throughout the year.

3. If an area frame is used, describe how area sampling units are defined and formed.

	a. Is any area left out in the formation of the area frame? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
	If Yes, what percentage of the State's population is not covered by the area frame?
	%
	Federal regulation requires that vending machines be inspected as part of the Synar survey. Are vending machines included in the Synar survey?
	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	If No , please indicate the reason they are not included in the Synar survey.
	☐ State law bans vending machines
	State law bans vending machines from locations accessible to youth
	☐ State has SAMHSA approval to exempt vending machines from the survey
	☐ State has SAMHSA approval to exempt vending machines from the survey ☐ Other (please describe):
1	
•	Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one)
•	☐ Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) ☐ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete)
•	☐ Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) ☐ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete) Unstratified State-wide sample:
	 □ Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) □ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete) Unstratified State-wide sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 9)
	 □ Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) □ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete) Unstratified State-wide sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 9) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6)
•	□ Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) □ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete) Unstratified State-wide sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 9) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6) □ Single-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8)
7	 □ Other (please describe): ■ Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) ■ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete) ■ Unstratified State-wide sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 9) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6) □ Single-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8) □ Multi-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8)
•	□ Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) □ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete) Unstratified State-wide sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 9) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6) □ Single-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8) □ Multi-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8) Stratified sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 7) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6)
,	□ Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) □ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete) Unstratified State-wide sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 9) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6) □ Single-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8) □ Multi-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8) Stratified sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 7) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6) □ Single-stage cluster sample (go to Question 7)
,	□ Other (please describe): Which category below best describes the sample design? (Check only one) □ Census (STOP HERE: Appendix B is complete) Unstratified State-wide sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 9) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6) □ Single-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8) □ Multi-stage cluster sample (go to Question 8) Stratified sample: □ Simple random sample (go to Question 7) □ Systematic random sample (go to Question 6)

FFY: 2007 State: _____

Date: _____

FFY: 2007	State:
	Date:

7	Provide	the foll	owing	information	ahout	stratification
<i>'</i> •	I I UVIUC	me ion	owing	IIII UI III au UII	avvui	su auncanon

a. Provide a full description of the strata that are created.

b. Is clustering used within the stratified sample?

Yes (go to Question 8)

No (go to Question 9)

8. Provide the following information about clustering

a. Provide a full description of how clusters are formed. (If multi-stage clusters are used, give definitions of clusters at each stage.)

b. Specify the sampling method (simple random, systematic, or probability proportional to size sampling) for each stage of sampling and describe how the method(s) is (are) implemented.

9. Provide the formulae for determining the effective, target, and original outlet sample sizes.

FFY: 2007	State: Date:	
STATE:		

FFY:

APPENDIX C

SYNAR SURVEY INSPECTION PROTOCOL

Note: Attach a copy of the inspection form and protocol used to record the inspection result.

		1
How do	es the State Synar survey protocol address t	he following?
a.	Consummated buy attempts?	
	□ Required	☐ Not Permitted
	Permitted under specified circumstances	☐ Not specified in protocol
b.	Youth inspectors to carry ID?	
	Required	Not Permitted
	Permitted under specified circumstances	☐ Not specified in protocol
c.	Adult inspectors to enter the outlet?	
	Required	Not Permitted
	Permitted under specified circumstances	☐ Not specified in protocol
d.	Youth inspectors to be compensated?	
	Required	Not Permitted
	Permitted under specified circumstances	☐ Not specified in protocol
-		
	Law enforcement agency(s) State or local government agency(s) other that Private contractor(s) Other	n law enforcement
joi	ntly conduct all Synar tobacco inspections by l	
issue wa	arnings or citations to retailers found in viol	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Always Usually Sometimes Ran	rely Never
	d. Identify Synar in Lis joi off Are Syn issue wa	 □ Permitted under specified circumstances b. Youth inspectors to carry ID? □ Required □ Permitted under specified circumstances c. Adult inspectors to enter the outlet? □ Required □ Permitted under specified circumstances d. Youth inspectors to be compensated? □ Required □ Permitted under specified circumstances Identify the agency(s) or entity(s) that actually cond Synar inspections of tobacco outlets. (Check all that a condition of tobacco outlets) □ Law enforcement agency(s) □ State or local government agency(s) other that private contractor(s)

4.	Describe the methods used to recruit, select, and train youth inspectors and adult supervisors.						
	complia	re recruited and trained by local health departments prior to their participating in nce checks. Training usually includes 1-2 hours of contact with the youth; there is a emphasis on safety.	a				
5.	the issue	re specific legal or procedural requirements instituted by the State to address e of youth inspectors' immunity when conducting inspections?					
	a.	Legal \(\sum \text{ Yes} \) \(\sum \text{No} \) (If Yes, please describe):					
		Utah law §77-39-101 Investigation of Sales of Alcohol and Tobacco addresses both immunity and procedural issues associated with conducting compliance checks. Youth conducting compliance checks are granted immunity by the law so long as a peace officer is present at the time of the inspection.					
	b.	Procedural					
		Local health departments schedule inspections with local police departments and sheriff's offices to assure the presence of a peace officer at the time of each inspection.					
6.		re specific legal or procedural requirements instituted by the State to address e of the safety of youth inspectors during all aspects of the Synar inspection?					
	a.	Legal					
	b.	Procedural					
	b. Procedural Yes No (If Yes, please describe): Procedural guidelines are in place that disallow compliance checks where it is deemed there may be a safety risk to the youth. Youth are transported to inspection sites with local health department and law enforcement officials. Under the direction of the law enforcement official youth enter the outlet and attempt to purchase tobacco. If a sale occurs, the youth is withdrawn to a safe location away from the outlet and the law enforcement official generally enters the outlet to issue						

a citation to the person who sold tobacco to the youth. (Utah Code provides that law enforcement officials can take up to seven days to issue a citation). If, during the process of conducting inspections, any of the parties, (local health department staff, the underage youth, or the law enforcement official), have concerns about the

FFY: 2007 State: _____

Date: _____

FFY: 2007 State:	
Date:	
safety of the youth at a specific location, the inspection is cancelled and an	
appropriate notation made on the logging forms.	

7.	Are there any other legal or procedural requirements the State has regarding how
	inspections are to be conducted (e.g., age of youth inspector, time of inspections,
	training that must occur)?

a.	Legal	∑ Yes	No (If Yes.	please describe).
•••				precise deservee).

The person requested to attempt a purchase and anyone accompanying them may not, during the attempted purchase, misrepresent the age of the person by false or misleading identification documentation in attempting the purchase.

The general protocol for compliance checks is to use youth 15-17 years of age. Since Utah law prohibits the sale of tobacco to persons under the age of 19, a limited amount of 18 year olds are also used to conduct checks. 18 year olds are used due to unavailability of trained 15-17 year olds and where geographical remoteness of areas being checked is an issue.

APPENDIX D

STATE:	Utah		
FFY:	2007		

List Sampling Frame Coverage Study (LIST FRAME ONLY)

1.	Calendar year of the coverage study: 2005/2006					
2.	Percent coverage found:	96.6				
	(Provide calculation of the perce	ent cover	age)			
Co	mputation: 100*{1-[b/(n-a+b)]}	100*{1	-[55/(1,612-61+55	5)]} =		
Ce	nsus serves as coverage study.					
	riable b = 55 (number of eligible me: 1,667-1,612)	outlets fr	om coverage stud	y, but not inc	cluded in the ori	ginal
Va	riable $a = 61$ (number of ineligible)	e outlets	in the sampling fr	rame)		
Variable $n = 1,612$ (number of total outlets in the sampling frame)						

3. Provide a description of the coverage study methods and results.

The Utah tobacco retail lists are developed from multiple sources and updated yearly. The Utah State Tax Commission supplies a list of licensed tobacco outlets to the Utah Department of Health and Utah's 12 local health departments. Local health departments confirm outlets on this list and add or delete outlets based on visits to stores or telephone calls to verify tobacco sales. Updated lists are submitted to the Tobacco Prevention and Control Program (TPCP) for review. After the review, the TPCP redistributes the lists to the local health departments (which encompass all 29 counties in the state). Updated tobacco retail lists are available each fiscal year prior to conducting compliance checks.

Throughout the year, the local health departments continuously update outlets on the retail lists. Any outlets located during this process are added to the retailer list and a check conducted. Therefore, the number of outlets on the original frame (1,612 in FFY07) and the final number of outlets that appeared on local health department lists by the end of the reporting period (1,667 in FFY07) are not the same.

During FFY07, local health departments found that 61 outlets on the original list were not eligible for a compliance check. The reasons for ineligibility are listed on Form 4.